



MARK P. LEVY  
THOMPSON HINE LLP  
P.O. BOX 8801  
DAYTON OH 45401-8801

**COPY MAILED**

**DEC 14 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
T. Douglas Mast  
Application No. ~~10/721,304~~ 10/721,034  
Filed: November 24, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. END-5042USCIP

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, filed June 5, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the final Office Action mailed July 12, 2005. A response was filed November 14, 2005 with a one month extension of time request, but by Advisory Action of February 7, 2006, petitioner was advised that the response did not place the application in condition for allowance. This application became abandoned on October 13, 2005. The instant petition and this decision precede the mailing of the Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner has submitted a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 3768 for processing of the RCE.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

<sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).